

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

THOMAS HEATON SPITTERS,
Plaintiff,
v.
SPITTERS, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [5:18-cv-07459-EJD](#)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST TO REOPEN CASE**


Re: Dkt. No. 14

The court previously dismissed Plaintiff's case without prejudice because Plaintiff had failed to either pay the filing fee or submit a complete IFP application. Dkt. No. 11. The court later denied Plaintiff's motion to re-open the case because Plaintiff had not paid the filing fee or completed an IFP application. Dkt No. 13.

Presently before the court is Plaintiff's second request to re-open the case. The request is DENIED because Plaintiff has still not filed a complete IFP application or paid the filing fee. Therefore, the case shall remain closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 17, 2019


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge